

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,694	05/19/2005	Thomas Matzler	SFS-PT061 (P0372US)	9244
3624 VOLPE AND	7590 KOENIG, P.C. 08/14/200	EXAMINER		
UNITED PLA	ZA, SUITE 1600		SAETHER, FLEMMING	
30 SOUTH 17 PHILADELPI	TH STREET IIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/535.694 MATZLER ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Flemming Saether 3677 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Entensions or time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1 1560. In no event, however, may a reply to timely filed attent FSI (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of the communication.

- If NO provide for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory priced will apply and will expres IX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with 1 y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with 1 y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with 1 y statute.

1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 08 August 2007.

- 2a) □ This action is FINAL.

- 2b) □ This action is non-final.

3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) □ Claim(s) 5-8 is/are pending in the application.

- 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed.

6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed.

6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed.

6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) □ is/are objected to.

8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

	′ /	Ciaiii(3)	is/are objected to:			
	8)□	Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1.∟	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information-Disclessure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE) Paper No(s)Mail Date Pager No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	
C. Datastand Tondamont Office		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/535,694

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark (US 2.084.079) in view of ASM Handbooks Online. Clark discloses a method of making a screw and screw wherein the steel is cold formed to form an interior engaging member (15, see Fig. 3). Clark does not disclose the specific steel alloy of the material. ASM discloses a high-strength steel called "Maraging Steel" which consists of C, Mo, Ni, Co, Ti, Al and Fe in amounts about which are within or within routine experimentation of the claimed amounts. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the steel used in Clark out of the alloy as disclosed in the ASM Handbook because the ASM handbook discloses a superior high strength steel alloy which is suitable to be cold working. Alternatively, applying KSR rational, it would have been "obvious to try" cold forming the interengaging member as disclosed in Clark in the "ultra high-strength" material as disclosed in the ASM Handbook because there would be a reasonable expectation of success since the materials of both Clark and the ASM Handbook are from a group of steel materials. (KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. , , 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1395-97 (2007); see MPEP 2142).

Application/Control Number: 10/535,694

Art Unit: 3677

Response to Remarks

Applicant argues the rejection under section 112 first paragraph. In response, the examiner agrees with applicant. The examiner accepts that "moiety" in the context of the disclosure limits the remainder of the composition to be iron (Fe).

The rejection under section 102 have been obviated by applicant's amendments.

As regards the rejection under section 103, applicant argues that the ASM Handbooks Online fails to disclose the specific ultra-strength material which is claimed. In response, the examiner agrees. However, as noted in the above rejection, the specific composition would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation.

The previous response not withstanding, since the applicant discussed cobaltfree and low-cobalt materials as comparison to the material claimed, the examiner makes a request under rule 37 CFR 105 for any information which was known to the applicant or assignee about cobalt-free and low-cobalt materials. Specifically, the composition and properties of such materials. Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 571-272-7071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor Batson can be reached on 571-272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Flemming Saether Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

/Flemming Saether/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3677